

Proposal Title	Orange LEP 2011 Amendment 13 Clergate Hills
Proposal Summary	Rezoning of 290 ha of rural land at Clergate, North Orange for large lot residential purposes (proposed 450 lots at minimum lot size 4000m2; small area of steep terrain at 8000m2).
	Please refer to Orange LEP 2011 LZN_006 and LZN_007C for context.
	The site is zoned a combination of RU1 Primary Production (256ha)and IN1 General Industrial (34ha). The Main Western Railway line bounds the site to the west and is zoned SP2 Infrastructure (Rail Infrastructure Facility).
	The site is situated approximately 5km north of Orange CBD; and is bounded by the Cabonne-Orange LGA boundary (Pearce Lane) in the north, Ciergate Road to the west and the northern end of the arterial distributor road Leeds Parade in the south east. Charles Sturt University is to the east of the site and zoned SP2. The CSU site is largely 'greenfield' with teaching and accommodation infrastructure off the Leeds Parade entrance. The majority of the CSU site is also a long term residential strategic option as identified in the Blayney Cabonne Orange Rural and Industrial Lande Strategy (BCO).
	The former Orange Abattoirs is located within the site off Clergate Road on the south western boundary, zoned IN1. A disused wool scour facility is adjacent to the site (west) but not part of the rezoning. However, the evaporation ponds and effluent disposal area of the wool scour plant are on the subject land. Both abattoirs and wool scour plants are now defined as 'livestock processing industries'. R1-zoned land and residential development is approximately 1km to the south. There is (light) industrial development located on IN1 zoned land to the west of the Main Western Railway line and further south along Clergate Road.
	The strategic area known as 'SAB' has been identified since 2008 for future industrial purposes by the BCO. This area straddles the railway line and Clergate Road and is a logical extension of the existing IN1 zone to the south. Clergate Road has ready access to Leeds Parade and the Northern Distributor Road, and other industrial areas such as 'Narrambla'. There has been a recent increase in development in this locality.
	The general locality supports a combination of small lot agricultural holdings and lifestyle development on RU1 zoned land. There is a history of intensive horticulture in the form of orchards and livestock grazing enterprises, although some is also used for rural lifestyle purposes.
	The planning proposal has the following supporting documents:
	- The Planning Proposal including mapping - Masterplanning documents and maps - Local Environmental Study - A 'Land Analysis' being a strategic review of the Blayney Cabonne Orange Rural and Industrial Lands Strategy (BCO Strategy) and other strategic growth documents concerning
	Orange. Demand and supply analysis for rural residential and 'employment' land. - Bushfire Assessment - Traffic impact assessment - Preliminary Servicing Strategy
	-'Stage 1 Preliminary Site Investigation' for land contamination - Preliminary Biodiversity Assessment - Archaeological Assessment - Advice from real estate agents
PP Number :	PP_2016_ORANG_002_00 Dop File No : 16/05771-1

Proposal Details

roposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Receive	18-Apr-2016		LGA covered :	Orange
Region :	Western		RPA :	Orange City Council
State Electorate :	ORANGE		Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Precinct			
Location Details				
Street :	Clergate Road			
	Orange	City :	Orange	Postcode : 2800
Land Parcel :	-		-	
Street :	Clergate Road			
Suburb :	-	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	Lot 3 DP255985 North o		oned RU1 contains vege	tation
Street :	Clergate Road			
Suburb :	-	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	Lot 2 DP255983 zoned F	RU1 adjoins C	abonne LGA boundary a	and Pearce Road
Street :	Clergate Road			
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	Lot 14 and 25 DP6694 ze	oned RU1 adj	oins Cabonne LGA Bour	ndary and Pearce Road
Street :	Clergate Road			
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	Lots 15 DP6694 Former	Abattoir site,	zoned IN1	
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Detai	ls		
Contact Name :	Nita Scott			
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email :	nita.scott@planning	g.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact De	otails			
Contact Name :	Craig Mortell			
Contact Number :	0263938199			
Contact Email :	cmortell@orange.ns	sw.gov.au		
DoP Project Ma	nager Contact Detai	ls		
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey			
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email	wavne garnsev@nl:	anning new g	07.91	

Land Release Data Growth Centre : N/A Release Area Name : Regional / Sub N/A Consistent with Strategy N/A Regional Strategy : MDP Number : Date of Release : Area of Release (Ha) 290.00 Type of Release (eg Residential Residential / Employment land) : No. of Lots Û No. of Dwellings 450 (where relevant) : Gross Floor Area : 0 No of Jobs Created : 0 The NSW Government Yes Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? If Yes, comment : Supporting notes Internal Supporting Lot 15 DP6694 Former Abattoir site, zoned IN1 Notes : Lot 3 DP255985 North of Abattoirs, zoned RU1 contains vegetation Lot 2 DP255983 zoned RU1 adjoins Cabonne LGA boundary and Pearce Road Lots 14 and 25 DP6694 zoned RU1 adjoins Cabonne LGA Boundary and Pearce Road Lot 15 was used for abattoirs (livestock processing industry), which have not been used for over 10 years. The buildings and infrastructure remain onsite, including evaporation and settling ponds. The adjoining wool scour plant were used in conjunction with the abattoirs and there are evaporation ponds on the subject site that may have been used by the wool scours as well. Soil and water contamination is a potential issue that will need to be comprehensively addressed. The Department has concerns over the inconsistency of the planning proposal with the BCO Strategy and the implications of reverting potential industrial land to residential uses. These concerns need to be resolved and contingencies put in place to manage any unforeseen issues that may arise as a result of the the proposal proceeding to a Gateway determination. A review of the proposal and amendment to either nominate a smaller area (eg only the SA2 residential designation) or wait until the review of the BCO is satisfactorily completed are options. Land contamination and the existence of an Endangered Ecological Community near the abattoirs on the SAB strategic area may help weight the argument away from it's rezoning in the interim. The preferred option to progress this matter is to seek an amended proposal by omitting the industrial land component. External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment : The objective of the proposal is stated as to 'enable the rezoning of the subject site from RU1 - Primary Production and IN1 - General Industrial to R5 - Large Lot Residential and E4-Environmental Living to enable the further subdivision of the site. In the project overview, it is mentioned that 'the planning proposal seeks to rezone the eastern and central western portion of the site as E4 - Environmental Living, sections along the creek lines as RE1 Public Recreation, with the remainder to be zoned R5 - Large Lot Residential'. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The explanation indicates that the Map sheets LZN_006 and LZN_007C will be amended to rezone the RU1 and IN1 land to zones R5, E4 and RE1. The LEP map sheets LSZ 006 and LSZ 007C will need to be amended to reduce the 100ha MLS to 4000m2 and 8000m2. The 8000m2 lots are located over a steep section of land with a southerly aspect. The LEP amendment relies upon the objectives of the R5 and E4 zones land use tables, These are as follows: The E4 zone: To provide for low-impact residential development in areas with special ecological, scientific or aesthetic values. To ensure that residential development does not have an adverse effect on those values. • To provide for housing and complementary uses in an area with a predominantly natural settina. The R5 residential zone has the following objectives: · 'To provide residential housing in a rural setting while preserving, and minimising impacts on, environmentally sensitive locations and scenic quality. • To ensure that large residential lots do not hinder the proper and orderly development of urban areas in the future. • To ensure that development in the area does not unreasonably increase the demand for public services or public facilities. To minimise conflict between land uses within this zone and land uses within adjoining zones. • To provide for student housing in close proximity to the Charles Sturt University. • To ensure development is ordered in such a way as to maximise public transport patronage, and encourage walking and cycling, in close proximity to settlement. · To ensure development along the Southern Link Road has an alternative access'. Comment: it will be difficult to differentiate between the two zones where the lot layout provided by the consultant shows a 'standard' subdivision pattern across the entire site (450 x 4000m2 lots), with the exception of slightly larger lots on a steeper land. The consultants have not developed the concept of the E4 zone in the proposed layout or in the amendment of the zoning maps. This is to be addressed prior to community consultation.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy I	been agreed to by the D	irector General? Yes
 b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : * May need the Director General's agreement 		 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 1.5 Rural Lands 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	s agreement required?	
c) Consistent with Standar d) Which SEPPs have the		SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		the LGA of Orange, however the Council and consultant advises seessment of the site did not identify any trees that are known koala
	a history of various	diation of Land Assessment provided includes a contamination report that identifies uses including fuel storage, evaporation ponds, irrigation of effluent ng intensive agriculture, septic tank use, asbestos etc.
	interest:the former v as part of the disuse on the land zoned IN west of the former al contaminants at uns	eport provided concludes that there are two sites of particular wool scour plant settlement ponds and a flammable goods shed used ed abattolrs on the subject site. The flammable goods shed is located If and the effluent irrigation area is on land zoned RU1 to the north battoirs. There are other sites and groundwater bores that show satisfactory levels. Restricting access to groundwater is an issue that essed by the Office of Environment and Heritage and DPI - Water.
	carries the general p consent, at which po chemical stores, effi from zinc and nitrate suggests that 'devel development conser	oort suggests that further assessment is not required as SEPP 55 provision for all development proposals which require development oint assessment and/or remediation of specified items (septic tanks, uent irrigation, asbestos building materials, groundwater impacts es, stormwater flow from other sites). The consultant's report also opments permitted under the rural residential zoning without nt do not include uses considered likely to increase the risk of harm ronment from contamination'.
	been provided to all	i is an issue Council have agreed that adequate information has ow the proposal to proceed to Gateway determination at this time. estigations and remediation can be considered at the development
	suitable for both futu proposed in the sub production possible of lots on the SA2 la agreed with the cons SEPP RL on the basi	2008 (SEPP RL) - The BCO strategically identified the subject land as ure industrial and residential uses. The proposed development as division layout will constrain the range and extent of primary adjoining the site.lt is recommended that a reduction in the number nd takes place to allow for the incorporation of buffers. The Council sultant's report that suggests the proposal is consistent with the is that '62% of the site is identified through the BCO as strategically idential land use and "therefore the loss of primary production land ally acceptable".

The consultant also points out the the land is not identified as including 'strategic agricultural land' and is "mapped Class 4". The consultant states that the BCO future residential designation "is a reflection of the changing nature of agriculture and development trends and requirements in the area". This view needs to be tested during public and agency consultation, particularly for those who have invested in intensive agricultural operations nearby (horticulture/orchards).

The BCO identifies the Strategy Area 'SA2' as a long term residential option and 'SAB' for industry. The Council agrees that the consultant has demonstrated that a large part of the site is broadly capable of supporting development. However, it may not be conclusive that it is appropriate to convert all or some of the land to residential uses at this stage. Issues to consider are land suitability and capability (contamination and biodiversity values), demand and supply, strategic industrial land requirements and also, management of the rural-urban edge.

Potential conflict with surrounding development is an issue that will require management as part of the planning process. Buffers would logically need to be incorporated inside the site boundary and further details provided prior to community consultation.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

The main strategic inconsistencies concern the fact that part of the site (76.3ha) is identified in the Blayney Cabonne Orange Rural and Industrial Lands Strategy 2008 (BCO) as future Industrial in North Orange (SAB). A large part of the site (186ha) is identified as future residential. The conversion of 76ha of well located, serviced and accessible land in an existing industrial area to residential uses is regarded as a major departure from the agreed strategic framework that requires further consideration.

NOTE: The Department has requested that Orange City Council prepare an amendment to the BCO Strategy regarding the mooted transfer of land at 'Clergate Hills' to the Orange Airport (PP_2015_ORANG_001) after consultation with and agreement of, Blayney Shire and Cabonne Councils.

Section 117 Directions

Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones:

This Direction Is applicable, and the proposal is inconsistent as it seeks to remove an industrial zone, which is wholly inconsistent with the objectives of the Direction and the BCO. The Council and consultant argues that the site is 'poorly suited for provision of employment uses and is better suited for rural residential living'. The Council and consultant maintains that 'partial inconsistency with the Direction is considered acceptable' due to the study prepared in support of the planning proposal.

It is also suggested that 'despite aggressive marketing, a suitable use for the site that is commensurate with the location and proximity to the University and general residential land to the south-west, there are no suitable options produced'. These include rural processing industries such as dairy, oil seeds, biofuels, animal processing, storage and warehousing, brewing and distillery, transport logistics etc

However, these uses are all considered to be viable options, particularly as the central west region transitions to an agricultural processing economy moving forward as predicted. It can be anticipated that the site is well suited to provide for a large stand-alone industry close to Orange with rail and road transport connections.

There needs to be caution applied through a timely and comprehensive review of industrial land requirements across the BCO councils. The subject land may be critical to future employment land needs. The inconsistency with this Direction has not been justified and further work is required.

Directions 1.2 Rural Lands and 1.5 Rural Zones: The PP rezones land from RU1 zone to residential and environmental living zones.

Council suggests that inconsistency is justified by the recommendations of the review of the RCO. An with Direction 1.1, the Council and consultant maintains that the study
the BCO. As with Direction 1.1, the Council and consultant maintains that the study prepared in support of the proposal is adequate justification for inconsistency. The
Acting Executive Director, Regions can be satisfied that the proposal is consistent with
the BCO SA2 area for residential development but inconsistent with the BCO for the
existing industrial land and 'SAB' area for industrial development.
Direction 1.3 - Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries: The Acting Executive Director, Regions can be satisfied that the proposal is consistent
with this Direction as there is no known resources.
Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation:
The consultant's report notes that there are no items of heritage significance on the site
as there are no listings in the Orange LEP 2011 or State Heritage Register. It is noted
however that items of aboriginal heritage significance were found on site, and 'further
investigations would be required to ensure that the artifacts and sites known to exist
can either be avoided (preferred) or impacted (subject to gaining an Aboriginal Heritage
Impact Permit). Consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage will be
reflected in the Gateway conditions. The Acting Executive Director, Regions can be
satisfied that the proposal does not change any heritage provisions and such heritage
matters identified can be addressed through consultation and at the detailed
development application stage.
Direction 3.1 - Residential Zones:
As required, the planning proposal contains a requirement that residential development
is not permitted until land is adequately serviced (or arrangements satisfactory to
Council). The land is already provided with services and the entirety of the site can be
serviced with water and sewer. The Acting Executive Director, Regions can be satisfied
that the proposal is consistent with this Direction in respect to the BCO SA2 area.
Direction 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport applies to the planning proposal. The
Acting Executive Director, Regions can be satisfied that the planning proposal is
consistent with this Direction due to the ability to readily service and access the site.
Direction 4.4 - Planning for Bushfire Protection:
The Council and consultant's report states that part of the site is bushfire prone. Mapping
indicates that a small portion of the site on Pearce Lane is bushfire prone -
predominantly on the land identified in 'SAB' for industrial uses. This is considered to be
manageable through urban design and consultation with the NSW RFS prior to
community consultation. Should the industrial area SAB be removed the proposal is
consistent with this Direction.
Direction 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements:
The planning proposal does not create any referral, concurrence or consultation
requirements and has not nominated any new forms of designated development,
therefore the Acting Executive Director, Regions can be satisfied that the proposal is
consistent with this Direction and no further work is required.
Note on Strategic Framework:
Council prepared an Addendum to the Blayney Cabonne Orange Sub-Regional Rural
and Industrial Lands Strategy (BCO). This is provided with the planning proposal by the
proponent and was also provided in support of the 'Airport' planning proposal currently
post-Gateway (PP_2015_ORANG_001). It is noted that this addendum is the subject of current discussions and has not yet been resolved.
Following a Gateway Determination PP_2015_ORANG_001 dated 18/12/15 Orange City
Council is now in the process of preparing a comprehensive review of the BCO to justify
the rezoning of rural land near the Orange Airport for business and industrial uses. This
review will require the incorporation and agreement of the views of Blayney Shire and

_	
	Cabonne Councils. Part of this proposal is based on the 'transfer' of industrial land from 'Clergate Hills' to the Airport, leaving the way clear to rezone strategic industrial land to other uses, such as large lot residential as proposed.
	It will be suggested to Council that a holistic review of the BCO is prepared to incorporate both planning proposals (Clergate Hills and the Airport) and the positions of the three Councils. This approach allows for amendment of the planning proposal before finalisation if it is found after consultation with agencies and Blayney Shire and Cabonne Councils that the amount of land is excessive or inappropriate in the circumstances.
	It is argued by Council and the consultant that the land in 'Clergate Hills' is potentially better suited to a residential zoning and the remaining industrial land in the vicinity is close to being committed or remains in a holding that requires substantial investment to prepare for industrial development (the former abattoir site).
	The 'Land Analysis' provided with the proposal assesses the supply and demand of rural residential land within the Blayney, Orange and Cabonne sub-region and the impact of development on the subject land. The other consideration is the implications of the loss of the current and future employment land.
	In relation to Demand and Supply, the consultant has demonstrated a potential shortfall of 119 'large lot' residential lots in Orange. This is notwithstanding recent releases in South Orange and continued development of full residential development in North Orange.
	It is noted by the proponent that the 'industrial zoning of the former abattoir site reflects in use for this purpose since the early 1900's. The site has remained relatively isolated from other industrial land by virtue of the Main Western Railway to the west and the university land to the south. Industrial land to the south (adjacent to the Northern Distributor) has been recently approved for a large format hardware and building supplies store; a land use permissible in the industrial zone'
	However, there has been some light industrial development activity in the locality in recent years, capitalising on proximity to the Northern Distributor Road.
	SA2 (Strategic Area 2) is identified in the BCO as a future residential precinct that is made up of land owned predominantly by Charles Sturt University (CSU). The SA2 is north of Leeds Parade and adjoins 'Clifton Grove' residential estate. It is noted in the BCO that the entire extent of the SA2 is "not necessarily suitable for future lifestyle development". The eastern part of SA2 along Ophir Road would be appropriate for consideration for future lifestyle development, and would not impact on long term university operations. Advantages of SA2 are its proximity to the Orange CBD (5km). The land is also relatively free of constraints and is not within the Orange drinking water catchment. As noted, a strategic review in the context of the BCO should undertaken.
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	See comments below
Community consultation	on - s55(2)(e)
Has community consultatio	n been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Mapping is regarded as adequate at this stage; however the proposed land use zoning map shows approximately half the subject site as 'E4 Environmental Living' and Zone RE1 Public Recreation.

I

	the north east (approx 20ha) at 8000m2, due to the steep terrain.
	The E4 Environmental Living zone permits fewer land uses than the R5 zone, but is very similar in intent. Both zones permit Dwelling houses, Bed and breakfast accommodation, Animal boarding and training establishments, Environmental facilities, Home businesses, Home industries, Information and education facilities, Recreation areas, Recreation facilities (outdoor), Roads, Veterinary hospitals and Water supply systems.
	The R5 zone permits in addition to the landuses in the E4 zone: Boarding houses, Building identification signs, Business identification signs, Child care centres, Crematoria, Exhibition homes, Kiosks, Neighbourhood shops, Places of public worship, Recreation facilities (indoor) and Respite day care centres.
	The E4 zone permits Sewerage systems and Electricity generating works, which are not permissible in the R5 zone.
	Land use zone allocation is not yet resolved and further justification is required.
	Council anticipates an exhibition period of 28 days. Council's proposed community consultation is adequate.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? No
-	
If Yes, reasons :	
If Yes, reasons :	
	of the proposal
Overall adequacy o	If the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes
Overall adequacy o	
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? Yes The proposal is adequate, however, as outlined throughout, there is more work to justify
Dverall adequacy o Does the proposal mea If No, comment :	et the adequacy criteria? Yes The proposal is adequate, however, as outlined throughout, there is more work to justify a change from Industrial to Residential strategic land use designation. The proposal is adequate to proceed to Gateway determination.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mea If No, comment :	et the adequacy criteria? Yes The proposal is adequate, however, as outlined throughout, there is more work to justify a change from Industrial to Residential strategic land use designation. The proposal is adequate to proceed to Gateway determination.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mea If No, comment :	et the adequacy criteria? Yes The proposal is adequate, however, as outlined throughout, there is more work to justify a change from Industrial to Residential strategic land use designation. The proposal is adequate to proceed to Gateway determination. t
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal men If No, comment : Pposal Assessmen Principal LEP: Due Date : February 2 Comments in relation	et the adequacy criteria? Yes The proposal is adequate, however, as outlined throughout, there is more work to justify a change from Industrial to Residential strategic land use designation. The proposal is adequate to proceed to Gateway determination. t
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal men If No, comment : Pposal Assessmen Principal LEP: Due Date : February 2	et the adequacy criteria? Yes The proposal is adequate, however, as outlined throughout, there is more work to justify a change from Industrial to Residential strategic land use designation. The proposal is adequate to proceed to Gateway determination. t 2012 Orange LEP 2011 was notified on 24 February 2012.

nge LEP 2011 Ame	endment 13 Clergat	te Hills	
		residential in the BCO as 'SA2' (residen AB) or comprises 76.3ha of the site and I.	•
		oportion of the land the subject of the p rategic area as a result of the BCO.	proposal is zoned RU1 in a
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	in that land identifie large lot residential former Orange Abat	tially inconsistent with the endorsed BC d for existing and future industrial deve uses. This land is located in an industri toirs and next to the Main Western Rail ritical to Orange's economy, with ready uses.	elopment is being proposed for ial precinct containing the way line. The future of this
	locality has been us	ductive agricultural development on ad ed for pome and stone fruit orchards. T to be considered in terms of including	The potential impacts on this
	requirements of the	holistic review of the industrial and res Blayney, Cabonne and Orange sub-reg ate this proposal in any review of strate	ion as previously discussed. It is
Environmental social economic impacts :	Orange that is consi potentially valuable	proposal is the availability of serviced li istent with the BCO. The potential disad industrial land capacity in Orange, that ne. Future requirements need to be con	Ivantage is the loss of is well located near a
Assessment Proces	\$		
Proposal type :	Precinct	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make	12 months	Delegation :	Nil
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Charles Sturt Univer Essential Energy Department of Educ Office of Environme NSW Department of Transport for NSW Fire and Rescue NSU NSW Rural Fire Serv	nent Management Authority rsity ation and Communities nt and Heritage Primary Industries - Agriculture W	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :		ail authority critical at this stage. Future ial and industrial development needs to	
Resubmission - s56(2)(o) : No		
If Yes, reasons :	It is appropriate that	Council proceed with the proposal at t	his time only in respect to the

SA2 area.

Ъ

Identify any additional studies, if required.

Flora Heritage Economic Social If Other, provide reasons Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? Yes If Yes, reasons While the proposed 450 lots and amended to 186ha/280 lots is below the 1500 lot guide this land does form part of the broader north Orange land release area and should be considered in that context. Urban Release Area mapping will be required. It is stated that the site is already serviced with water and sewer - provided as part of the Orange Abattoirs that were on the site. The proposal even as amended to 186 ha/280 lots represents a major increase in

development density.

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
RE Agent advice.pdf	Proposal	No
Clergate Hills Bushfire.pdf	Study	No
Clergate Hills LES.pdf	Study	No
Clergate Hills Servicing.pdf	Study	No
Clergate Hills Traffic.pdf	Study	No
Clergate Hils Land Analysis.pdf	Proposal	No
OCC 040416.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No
RE Agent advice 2.pdf	Proposal	No
Clergate Hills Master Plan.pdf	Drawing	No
Orange Abattoir Biodiversity Assessment.pdf	Study	No
Contamination report 1.pdf	Study	No
Contamination Report 2.pdf	Study	No
Clergate Hills Archaeology-1.pdf	Study	No
Clergate Hills Archaeology-2.pdf	Study	No
Initial Request for Gateway - Orange Clergate.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	1.2 Rural Zones
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	1.5 Rural Lands
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
Additional Information :	1. Prior to community consultation, the planning proposal is to be amended to remove land known as 390 Clergate Road, Lot 15 DP6694 (former Orange Abattoirs, zoned IN1 General Industrial) and 440 Clergate Road, Lots 2 and 3 DP 6694 (zone RU1 Primary Production). This land is to be the subject of a review of the Blayney Cabonne Orange Rural and Industrial Lands Strategy 2008.

2. The amended planning proposal concerning the remaining land known as Lots 14 and 25 DP6694 is to be submitted to the Department of Planning and Environment for approval prior to the commencement of community consultation. This requires the desired allocation of land use zones including buffer areas over the subject site being proposed zone R5 Large Lot Residential, RE1 Public Recreation and E4 Environmental Living being clearly identified.

3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 as follows:

(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and

(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).

4. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 and to comply with the requirements of the relevant section 117 Directions:

- NSW Rural Fire Service
- Department of Primary Industries -- Water
- Office of Environment and Heritage
- Transport for NSW and Roads and Maritime Services
- NSW Fire and Rescue
- Local Land Services Central West
- Department of Primary Industries Agriculture
- Essential Energy/ Transgrid
- ARTC John Holland

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the planning proposal prior to community consultation.

5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

6. Orange City Council is to prepare for Lots 14 and 25 DP6694 suitable for community consultation the following:

• An Urban Release Area Map to ensure that the provisions can be made for designated State public infrastructure when the land is developed.

• Amended lot size map(s) to reflect recommended buffer distances to facilitate separation distances from identified contaminated land and zone RU1 Primary Production land.

• The maps should to be prepared in accordance with the Department's Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps and included with the planning proposal along with an explanation for the inclusion for the purposes of community consultation.

7. Prior to submission of the planning proposal under section 59 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979, the LEP maps must be prepared and be compliant with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps'.

8. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

9. Council is not be authorised to exercise plan making delegations on this occasion.

Supporting Reasons :	1. The proposal is significant and has potential impacts on the local community.
	Potential economic and social impacts of the planning proposal and the proposed amendment to the strategic industrial land designations of the BCO are not known at this stage.
	3. Mapping requires review and redesign to meet agreed outcomes for the site.
	4. Consultation with agencies is essential in a proposal of this nature.
	Authorisation to exercise delegation is not to be issued in this case as a result of the potential implications of amendment to endorsed sub regional strategic land use recommendations.
	It is being recommended that the proposal be supported at this time only in respect of the SA2 land residential component. This will result in 186ha with an estimated lot yield of 280 lots. More work is required to justify any amendment to the BCO in respect to the SAE industrial land component.
Signature:	Riat



MAP

PLANNING PROPOSAL AMENDMENT TO ORANGE LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2011 BOB HEALY & COMPANY





Figure 1:



Extract BCO